

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/774,704 02/06/2004		02/06/2004	Joseph L. Ungari	005127.00266	005127.00266 7368	
22910	7590	10/17/2006		EXAMINER		
BANNER &	: WITCO	OFF, LTD.	PATTERSON, MARIE D			
28 STATE ST	TREET			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DARDANIA (DED	
28th FLOOR				ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON MA 02109-9601				3728		

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		MT			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	10/774,704	UNGARI, JOSEPH L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
TI MAN INC BARRY	Marie Patterson	3728			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON.	N. imely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	august 2006.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under I					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received.  Is have been received in Application  In the property of the property	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  I) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	O D Interior Commence	W(PTO 412)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

Application/Control Number: 10/774,704

Art Unit: 3728

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-19, 21-31, and 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parihar et al. (2004/0002665) in view of Sasaki (5230249) or Lakic (6014823).

Parihar shows all of the limitations substantially as claimed including the following (see figure 5 and figure 13A): a sole structure (514), an upper (500 secured to the sole struture), at least one reservoir of magneto-rheological fluid (504), and a magnet assembly (510) proximate each reservoir, wherein a magnetic field produced by the magnet assembly transforms the magneto-rheological fluid from a fluid state to a near-solid state (see paragraphns [0116-0118]), the magnet assembly comprises a plurality of permanent magnets (510), when the article of footwear is in a first condition the permanent magnets are spaced apart from the reservoir (uncompressed state, i.e. when the user's foot is not in the shoe) and the magneto-rheological fluid is in a fluid state, and when the article of footwear is in a second condition (with the user's foot in the shoe) the permanent magnets are proximate the reservoir and create a magnetic filed within the reservoir and transforms the magneto-rheological fluid into a near-solid state, the magnet assembly comprising a plurality of electromagnets (510), a load cell (518) configured to activate the electromagnets upon detection of a force from a user's foot,

Art Unit: 3728

the load cell is positioned in the sole structure (see figure 5), a power source (512) connected to the electromagnets, the pwer source comprises a battery (512), the magnet assembly comprising a plurality of magnets (510) on a first side of a reservoir and a plurality of magnets (510) on an opposed second side of the reservoir (see figure 5), the magneto-rheological fluid comprises particles suspended in oil (see paragraph [0011]), the magneto-rheological fluid comprises iron molecules suspended in silicon (MR fluids known to contain silicon or oil), the reservoir of magneto-rheological fluid is located in compressible support element (504) secured to a bottom surface of the sole structure (bottom of insole). Parihar does not disclose the reservoir of fluid being located in the upper or sidewall of the upper, however Parihar does teach providing a reservoir surrounding the lower leg of a wearer (see figure 13A). Either Sasaki or Lakic teaches providing fluid filled reservoirs in both the upper and the sole of footwear to provide optimum support for the wearers' foot. It would have been obvious to extend the reservoirs into the upper in addition to the sole as taught by either Sasaki or Lakic in the shoe of Parihar to increase support of the entire foot.

3. Claims 4, 20, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 3, 19, and 31 above, and further in view of Demon (5813142).

Parihar as modified above shows a shoe substantially as claimed except for the footwear transforming from one state to another upon detection of a force from a user's foot. Demon teaches that the support of a shoe cam be adjusted based upon the force felt upon the shoe by the user's foot during use, by using sensors to send signals to an

Art Unit: 3728

internal computer to adjust the rigidity of the supports. Therefore, it would have been obvious to place sensors in the support of teh the references as applied to claims 3, 19, and 31 above to detect when more support was needed to activate the magnets to give more support tot the users' foot during use as taught by Demon.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 8/16/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. .

In response to applicants' arguments directed towards specific types of supports and how such supporting means function is not persuasive. The fact remains that all references are directed towards footwear with reservoirs which are filled with a fluid for supporting the foot. The modifying references to Sasaki and Lakic have been provided only to teach that it is known to provide reservoirs in the upper of footwear in addition to the sole for increase support of the foot. This teaching is applicable to all footwear having reservoirs for support including that of Parihar. Also it is noted that Parihar does shown/suggest that the supporting reservoir is capable of being used to surround the lower leg as shown in figure 13A.

1. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at <u>(572)273-8300</u> (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner <u>Marie Patterson</u> of Art Unit <u>3728</u> at the top of your cover sheet.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,704

Art Unit: 3728

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4559. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM Mon-Wed.

Marie Patterson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728 Page 5